

Серия «Математика» 2020. Т. 32. С. 101—117

Онлайн-доступ к журналу: http://mathizv.isu.ru И З В Е С Т И Я Иркутского государственного университета

УДК 519.45 MSC 20F99 DOI https://doi.org/10.26516/1997-7670.2020.32.101

On Periodic Groups of Shunkov with the Chernikov Centralizers of Involutions

V. I. Senashov 1,2

¹Siberian Federal University, Krasnoyarsk, Russian Federation; ²Institute of Computational Modelling SB RAS, Krasnoyarsk, Russian Federation

Abstract. Layer-finite groups first appeared in the work by S. N. Chernikov (1945). Almost layer-finite groups are extensions of layer-finite groups by finite groups. The author develops the direction of characterizing the well studied classes of groups in other classes of groups with some additional (rather weak) finiteness conditions. In this paper, almost layer-finite groups are characterized in the class of periodic Shunkov groups. Shunkov group is a group G in which for any of its finite subgroup K in the factor group $N_G(K)/K$ any two conjugate elements of prime order generate a finite subgroup. We study periodic Shunkov groups under the condition that a normalizer of any finite nontrivial subgroup is almost layer-finite. It is proved that if in such a group the centralizers of involutions are Chernikov ones, then the group is almost layer-finite.

 ${\bf Keywords:} \ {\rm infinite \ group, \ finitness \ condition, \ Shunkov \ group, \ Chernikov \ group.}$

1. Introduction

The group is called layer-finite if the set of its elements of any given order is finite. The class of almost layer-finite groups is wider than the class of layer-finite groups. Any Chernikov group is almost layer-finite, whereas it is easy to give examples of Chernikov groups that are not layer-finite. In this paper almost layer-finite groups are characterized in the class of periodic Shunkov groups.

Theorem. Let G be a Shunkov periodic group and the centralizer of each involution in G be a Chernikov one. If the normalizer of any nontrivial

V. I. SENASHOV

finite subgroup of the group G is almost layer-finite, then G is an almost layer-finite group.

The author has previously proved a similar theorem for groups with the minimality condition for non-almost layer-finite subgroups [15]. Recently, a number of works have also been devoted to the study of Shunkov groups [16–18].

2. Proof of the Theorem

Let G be a Shunkov periodic group that is not almost layer-finite. Additionally we assume that the centralizers of all involutions in the group Gare Chernikov's and the normalizer of any nontrivial finite subgroup of the group G is almost layer-finite.

By S we denote some Sylow 2-subgroup of G, i is the central involution from S or from the intersection of the center and the complete part of S if it is infinite (if S is infinite, then it is Chernikov's by Lemma 1 from [11], by the properties of infinite Chernikov primary groups, in them the intersection of the complete part with the center is nontrivial), H is a maximal almost layer-finite subgroup of the group G containing the infinite centralizer $C_G(i)$, which is almost layer-finite by assumption. Such a maximal subgroup exists by Zorn's lemma and by theorem 1 from [12]. The centralizer $C_G(i)$ is infinite, since otherwise, by Proposition 7 of [21], the group G would be locally finite and, by theorem 1 from [12], is almost layer-finite, that contradicts our assumption about the group G.

By the theorem from [14], we can assume that H is a not strongly embedded subgroup of G. From here, by Lemma 6 from [9] it immediately follows that H has an almost regular involution. If S be finite, then we can choose this involution from S due to Lemma 9 from [10], but if S is infinite, then by Theorem 2 of [11] it contains infinitely many involutions, among which by Lemma 8 from [10] there is an almost regular in H involution. Fix for this involution notation j. We denote by R(H) a layer-finite radical of H.

Let K be a subgroup of H generated by all involutions with infinite centralizers in H.

Lemma 1. If $H \setminus R(H)$ does not contain involutions conjugate with *i* in G, then $H = C_G(i)$ and Sylow 2-subgroups in R(H) are locally cyclic or generalized quaternion groups.

Proof. If S is a finite group, then repeating the reasoning from the beginning of the proof of the Theorem from [10] we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

Now suppose that S is infinite. By Theorem 2 of [11] S is an extension of a quasi-cyclic 2-group by a reversing automorphism. Since R is a layer-finite group, $S \cap R$ is a quasi-cyclic 2-group.

Lemma 2. At least one of statements is valid: 1) S is a 8th order dihedral group, and i, j are conjugate in G; 2) $H = C_G(i)$ and Sylow 2-subgroups from R(H) are locally cyclic or generalized quaternion groups.

Proof. If $H \setminus R(H)$ does not possess involutions, conjugate with *i* in *G*, then by Lemma 1 $H = C_G(i)$ and the Sylow 2-subgroups from R(H) are locally cyclic or generalized quaternion groups. The same is true if |K| = 2.

Let $K = \langle i \rangle \times \langle t \rangle$. By Lemma 8 from [10], the maximal elementary Abelian subgroup R in S has an order 4, and since $|C_G(i)| = \infty$, then $t \notin R$ (since $t \notin C_G(j)$).

Suppose that $j = g^{-1}ig$ and $D = H \cap H^g$. Let V be a Sylow 2-subgroup of D and $R \leq V$, P,Q are Sylow 2-subgroups from H, H^g , respectively, and $V = P \cap Q$. Obviously $R \leq Z(V)$ (since $i \in Z(V)$, so we select $j \in Z(V^g)$ also, V, V^g are conjugate in D, hence $V^g = V^h, i^h \neq j$ and R is a maximal subgroup in V). Since K < P and $t \notin C_G(j)$, then $V \neq P$, similarly to $V \neq Q$. Hence from the normalizer condition in nilpotent groups $N_G(V)$ does not contained in H. Obviously $R \lhd L = N_G(V)$.

If there was no element in L that induces an automorphism of 3-th order in R, then $L = C_L(R)(d)$, where $d \in P < H$ and $C_L(R) < C_G(i) \leq H$. Therefore, L < H, contrary to what was proved above. So in $N_G(V)$ there is an element that induces an automorphism of order 3 in R. If V had an element of order 4, then it could be chosen in V so that $b^2 = j$, and since $|K| = 4, K \triangleleft H, b \in H$, then $b^2 = j$ implies $t \in K < C_G(j)$ contrary to what was proved above. This contradiction means that $R = V = C_P(j)$.

Further, P is a dihedral group or a semidihedral group [2] and $K \triangleleft P$. Therefore, P is a dihedral group of order 8. Then, in view of the conjugacy of Sylow subgroups in H, the same is valid for S.

Remark 1. In view of the structure of a non-Chernikov almost Abelian almost layer-finite group B we assume that the number p is chosen so that it does not divide the index |B : L(B)|, where L(B) is a nilpotent radical of the group B (this index is finite, and the set $\pi(B)$ is infinite by Theorem 1.1.6 from [15]). In addition to choosing the number p, we can assume that it does not belongs to the set $\cup \pi(C_B(K))$, where K runs through all elementary Abelian subgroups of B having in B finite centralizers (Similar to the proof of Lemma 11 from [11], it is shown that the set of non-conjugate elementary Abelian subgroups of almost layer-finite group V with finite centralizers in V is finite) in the case of Chernikov group H and in the case of non-Chernikov H the number $p \notin \pi(C_H(K))$ for elementary Abelian subgroups K of H with finite centralizers in H.

We fix a notation. In the future we will talk about the element a from B or from H of prime order chosen according to the remark.

Consider groups of the form $L_n = \langle a, a^{s_n}, i \rangle$, where $i \in Z(S)$, $s_n \in C_G(i)$, $a \in G \setminus H$ is a strictly real element with respect to the involution

V. I. SENASHOV

i (if we consider the case of the Chernikov group H, then the element a is taken from the non-Chernikov group B and the choice of its order is unlimited; if H is a non-Chernikov group, then the element a can be chosen from subgroup conjugate to H and again to choose its order there are infinitely many variants).

Such groups as shown by A.N. Izmailov (see, for example, [19]) are finite, as soon as the groups $\langle a, a^{s_n} \rangle$ are finite, and the last groups are finite since G is a Shunkov group. Denote the set of groups L_n by \mathfrak{N} . The set \mathfrak{N} is infinite, otherwise for some sequence of the elements $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n, \ldots$ from $C_G(i) \ a^{s_1} = a^{s_2} = \ldots = a^{s_n} = \ldots$ and hence $s_n s_1^{-1} \in C_G(a), n = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $C_G(a) \cap C_G(i)$ is infinite, but then, by Lemma 6 from [9], $a \in H$ contrary to the choice of the element a.

Lemma 3. The subgroups of the set \mathfrak{N} are almost all semisimple.

Proof. Suppose that Sylow 2-subgroups in G are cyclic or generalized quaternion groups. Then the Sylow 2-subgroup of L_n is, by assumption, cyclic or a generalized quaternion group for any subgroup L_n of \mathfrak{N} and according to the Brauer-Suzuki theorem [3;6] $L_n = O_{2'}(L_n) \cdot C_{L_n}(i)$. If the element a does not belong to $O_{2'}(L_n)$, then the element $\overline{a} = aO_{2'}(L_n)$ is strictly real with respect to the involution $\overline{i} = iO_{2'}(L_n)$. But the involution \overline{i} is contained in the center of the factor group $L_n/O_{2'}(L_n)$. Contradiction implies the inclusion of the element a in $O_{2'}(L_n)$. Obviously the same is true for the element a^{s_n} . Then, in view of generating L_n by elements a, a^{s_n}, i , it has the structure $O_{2'}(L_n)\lambda\langle i\rangle$, that is, it is solvable by the Feit-Thompson theorem.

Suppose, that $L_1, L_2, ..., L_n, ...$ is an infinite sequence of different subgroups from \mathfrak{N} , where $L_n = \langle a, a^{s_n}, i \rangle$ and L_n has a nontrivial elementary Abelian subgroup V_n , normal in L_n , n = 1, 2, ... We represent V_n as $V_n = Z_n \times F_n$, where $Z_n = C_G(i) \cap V_n$, and if $|F_n|$ are odd, then $F_n = \langle h \in V_n \mid h^i = h^{-1} \rangle$.

If in the set of subgroups of the form V_n , n = 1, 2, ..., there is only a finite set of different, it is obvious without breaking the generality of reasoning, we can assume that $V = V_1 = V_2 = ... = V_n = ...$

Consider the maximal almost layer-finite subgroup M in G containing $N_G(V)$. By assumption, $L_n = \langle a, a^{s_n}, i \rangle < M$. Consider two cases:

1) $C_M(i)$ is infinite. Since M is a maximal almost layer-finite in G subgroup, then by Lemma 6 from [9] $C_G(i)$ contained in M. By Lemma 8 from [10], i is contained in a finite normal subgroup of M, and therefore, in a layer-finite radical R(M). The element a^{s_n} is a strictly real relative to i and contained in M. From here we get

$$ia^{s_n}i = (a^{s_n})^{-1}, (a^{s_n})^{-1}ia^{s_n} \in R(M).$$

Comparing these relations, we note: $i(a^{s_n})^2 \in R(M)$. Then, $(a^{s_n})^2 \in R(M)$ and, taking into account the oddness of the order of the elements

 a^{s_n} , finally $a^{s_n} \in R(M)$. Due to the infinity of the set $\{a^{s_n}\}$, n = 1, 2, ..., we get contradiction with the definition of a layer-finite group.

2) $C_M(i)$ is finite. In this case, by Lemma 12 from [11] there is a normal in M subgroup U of finite index in M each whose element is strictly real with respect to i.

The element a is also strictly real with respect to i. From here following equality $aha^{-1} = ia^{-1}iih^{-1}iiai = ia^{-1}h^{-1}ai = a^{-1}ha$ or $ha^2 = a^2h$ show that a is permuted with any element of U. So it belongs to a finite normal subgroup of the group M and belongs to its layer-finite radical R(M). Similar we show $a^{s_n} \in R(M)$, but this cannot be due to the infinity of the set $\{a^{s_n}\}$ and by the definition of layer-finite radical.

Thus, both cases are impossible. Therefore not breaking the generality of reasoning, we can assume that the subgroups of the form V_n , n = 1, 2, ..., are different. Let $Z_n \neq 1$ for any n. Suppose first that the set of primes p for p-subgroups Z_n is finite.

Without breaking the generality of reasoning, we can assume that all Z_n are *p*-groups by one prime number *p*. Due to the properties of almost layerfinite groups among them only a finite number of subgroups is not conjugate in $C_G(i)$. Therefore, without breaking the generality of reasoning it can be considered that $Z = Z_1^{t_1} = \ldots = Z_n^{t_n} = \ldots$, where $t_n \in C_G(i)$. The set of $\{t_n \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ can be both finite, and infinite. However, the set $\{L_n^{t_n} \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is always infinite due to the choice of the pair (a, i).

Let X be a maximal almost layer-finite subgroup in G containing $N_G(Z)$. First, let the orders of the subgroups V_n , n = 1, 2, ..., be odd.

If $C_X(i)$ is infinite, then by Lemma 8 from [10] the involution *i* belongs to a finite class of conjugate involutions in *X*. If there are infinitely many subgroups $F_n^{t_n}$, we find various elements $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n, ...$ such, that $f_n^{-1}if_n = f_k^{-1}if_k$. Then from equality $f_n^{-1}f_k = if_nf_k^{-1}i = f_nf_k^{-1}$ followed $f_k^2 = f_n^2$, but f_i has odd prime orders. Contradiction.

If $C_X(i)$ is finite, then as above $a^{t_n}, a^{s_n t_n} \in R(X)$, but there are infinitely many such different elements of the same order. The contradiction with layer-finiteness of R(X).

Then, in any case, we can assume, without breaking the generality of reasoning, that $F = F_1^{t_1} = \ldots = F_n^{t_n} = \ldots$ and that means $V = V_1^{t_1} = \ldots = V_n^{t_n} = \ldots$ By including $N_G(V)$ in the maximal almost layer-finite subgroup W of G, we get that $i, L_n^{t_n} < W, n = 1, 2, \ldots$

If the involution *i* belongs to the layer-finite radical R(W) of the group W, its centralizer in W is infinite and by Lemma 4 from [11], Lemma 6 from [9] W = H and a^{t_n} belongs to H together with the element a (t_n taken from H). If $i \notin R(W)$, then by Lemmas 8 from [10] and 12 from [11] in W there is an infinite Abelian normal subgroup of finite index in W, consisting of strictly real elements with respect to *i*. In this case as above, we obtain in the layer-finite radical R(W) infinitely many elements $a^{t_n}, a^{s_n t_n}$ of the same order. A contradiction means that if the orders of subgroups of the

form V_n are odd, then $Z_n = 1$ for almost all numbers n. But then for these n subgroups $V_n \langle a \rangle \lambda \langle i \rangle$ are Frobenius groups with the complement $\langle i \rangle$. In this case, by the properties of Frobenius groups, $V_n \langle a \rangle$ are Abelian groups.

Without breaking the generality, we can assume that i, $V_n < N_G(\langle a \rangle) = D$, n = 1, 2, ... According to the above, all elements of V_n are strictly real with respect to i, and by the assumption D is an almost layer-finite group. Since there are infinitely many subgroups V_n which consist of elements strictly real with respect to i, then there is an infinite subgroup of finite index in D, which is elementwise permutable with V_n , n = 1, 2, ... Then, subgroups V_n are contained in the layer-finite radical R(D) of the group D (V_n have finite index centralizers in D), and this cannot be due to its layer-finiteness, the infinity of the set $\{V_n\}$ and finiteness of the set of prime divisors of $|V_n|$ for all n in the aggregate.

Since Sylow 2-subgroups in G are cyclic or generalized group quaternions, then V_n cannot be a 2-group n = 1, 2, ... Because, then in L_n there would be the only involution i centralizing the element a, and we chose it to be strictly real with respect to i.

Thus, we have the case: the set of prime numbers p for p-subgroups Z_n is infinite. Then there are infinitely many prime divisors of orders of V_n .

All Z_n will be contained in H, and moreover, almost all Z_n are contained in R(H) since we chose them by different p, and $\pi(H \setminus R(H))$ is a finite set. Then in view of the infinite isolation of H the centralizers $C_G(Z_n)$ are contained in H. Then, almost all F_n are contained in H, and therefore V_n are contained in R(H). Then using Lemma 4 from [11] and the properties of layer-finite groups we get the inclusion $a \in H$, which is impossible. If in this case $Z_n \neq 1$ for any n, then again as above we obtain $V_n < N_G(\langle a \rangle) =$ D < U, where U is a maximal almost layer-finite group containing D, $V_n < N_G(\langle a^s \rangle) = D_s < U_s$, where U_s is a maximal almost layer-finite group containing D_s . Since V has a normal Abelian subgroup of finite index consisting of strictly real elements with respect to i, then due to the infinity of $\pi(\{V_n\})$ for almost all $s \quad U = U_s$ and hence $\{a^s\} < R(U)$ for the infinite set $\{a^s\}$. Contradiction. Now, it is only necessary to consider the case when V_n is a 2-group.

Recall that we assume that the lemma is false and $L_1, L_2, ..., L_n, ...$ is an infinite sequence of subgroups from \mathfrak{N} and L_n has nontrivial elementary Abelian subgroup V_n , normal in L_n , n = 1, 2, ... We represent V_n as $V_n = Z_n \times F_n$, where $Z_n = C_G(i) \cap V_n$. Above it is shown that without breaking the generality of reasoning it can be considered that $Z = Z_1^{t_1} = Z_2^{t_2} = ... = Z_n^{t_n} = ...$ for some elements $t_n \in C_G(i)$.

Let V_n be a 2-group. We show that V_n does not contain involutions with infinite centralizers in H. Indeed, if $j \in V_n$ and $C_H(j)$ is infinite, then by Lemma 6 from [9] $C_G(j) < H$ and $|N_G(V_n) : C_G(j) \cap N_G(V_n)| < \infty$ implies $N_G(V_n) < H$ together with L_n , but it is impossible. In this way, V_n contains only almost regular involutions in H, and by theorem from [8] and Lemma 6 from [9] $V_n \cap H$ is a cyclic group, that is, $V_n \cap H = \langle j \rangle$).

By Lemma 8 from [10], we have $|V_n| \leq 4$. If $|V_n| = 2$, then $V_n = Z_n$, n = 1, 2, ..., and this case has already been considered above and we proved its impossibility. So $|V_n| = 4$ and $V_n^{t_n} = \langle j \rangle \times \langle k_n \rangle$, where k_n is an involution for suitable elements t_n . As we have shown, the set $\{k_n\}$ is infinite. Hence, we get the infinity of the set $\{ik_n\}$. From the structure of the group $V_n \lambda \langle i \rangle$ we conclude that the order of the element ik_n may be equal to only 4 (it cannot be equal to 2 because of Lemma 8 from [10]).

If *i* is an almost regular involution in *X*, then by Lemma 12 from [11] in *X* there is a normal subgroup L_1 of finite index on which *i* acts strictly real. By Lemma 8 from [10] almost all involutions t_n are almost regular in *X*, then we can assume without breaking the generality of reasoning that they are all almost regular in *X*. Again, by Lemma 12 from [11], using the conjugacy of t_n in *X* (see Lemma 10 from [11]), we find the normal subgroup L_2 of finite index in *X* on which all t_n act strictly real. The intersection $L_3 = L_1 \cap L_2$ also normal in *X* and has finite index in it and centralizer of L_3 contain elements it_n . But in the almost layer-finite group *X* this situation is impossible (all elements it_n have the same order 4) since this contradicts to Lemma 10 from [11] and to theorem on the power of classes of conjugate elements. If *i* has an infinite centralizer in *X*, then using Lemma 4 from [11] we get the inclusion $X \subseteq H$ and, therefore, $C_H(j)$ is infinite, this is contrary to the choice of *j*.

Let L be a semisimple group, that is, does not have a soluble normal subgroup. Following [19] we denote by F(L) the socle of L, i.e. normal subgroup of the highest order of L, which is direct product of simple groups.

Lemma 4. Subgroups from the set \mathfrak{N} have a socle, isomorphic to PSL(2,q), where q > 3 is odd.

The statement of the lemma is proved in view of Lemma 3 in exactly the same way as the Theorem in [13].

Lemma 5. Set $\mathfrak{A} = \{L \in \mathfrak{N} \mid all involutions of <math>H \cap L$ are contained in $R(H)\}$ is finite.

Proof. Suppose that \mathfrak{A} is infinite and $L_1, L_2, ..., L_n, ...$ is an infinite sequence of different semisimple subgroups of \mathfrak{A} . Then $H_n = L_n \cap H$ is a strongly embedded subgroup in L_n for any n. (If H_n were not strongly embedded, i.e. $H_n \cap H_n^b$ would contain involutions for $b \in L_n \setminus H_n$, then in $H \cap H^b$ would contain the involution k from R(H) the first, since \mathfrak{A} so defined, secondly, k is the image of an involution from R(H) in H^b , hence $k \in R(H^b)$, and therefore $H = H^b$ and $L_n < H$, but it is impossible.)

Let H_n be some Sylow 2-subgroup Q_n and $i \in Z(Q_n)$. If S were not a dihedral group of order 8, then by Lemma 2 Q_n would be a cyclic group or

generalized quaternion group. It is not difficult to see from the infinite isolation of H and from the properties of subgroups from \mathfrak{A} that the subgroup Q_n is a Sylow group in L_n .

Then, repeating the reasoning from the beginning of the proof of the lemma when considering the case when Sylow 2-subgroups in G are cyclic or generalized groups of quaternions, replacing the conditions imposed on the Sylow 2-subgroups of the group G on the condition for Sylow 2-subgroups from L_n , we get the impossibility of this situation.

Consequently S is a dihedral group of order 8 and by Lemma 8 from [10] Q_n is an elementary Abelian group of order 4, moreover $Q_n \triangleleft H_n$ and $Q_1 = Q_2 = \ldots = Q_n = \ldots$

By Suzuki theorem [24] $L_n = \langle a, Q_n \rangle$ are isomorphic to SL(2, Q), over the field Q of characteristics 2, but this contradicts Lemma 4.

Lemma 6. Every involution in a simple non-Abelian subgroup U of G is contained in a maximal elementary Abelian subgroup of order 4 of U.

Proof. Let U be a simple non-Abelian group. Then, by the Brauer-Suzuki theorem [3;6], any of its involutions is contained in the elementary Abelian subgroup of the order not less than four, but by Lemma 8 from [10] the order of this elementary Abelian subgroup cannot be greater than four. \Box

Lemma 7. Orders of factor groups $L/F(L), L \in \mathfrak{N}$, limited in aggregate.

Proof. Suppose that the lemma is false. In this case there is a sequence $L_1, L_2, ..., L_n, ...$ for which $|L_n/F(L_n)|$ grows with the number n.

Let S_n be a Sylow 2-subgroup of L_n and $i \in S_n$. By Lemma 2.15 from [19] $Q_n = S_n \cap F(L_n)$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup in $F(L_n)$ and $L_n = N_{L_n}(Q_n)F(L_n)$, n = 1, 2, ... Because $L_n = \langle a, a^{s_n}, i \rangle$, where $s_n \in C_G(i)$, $iai = a^{-1}$, then $i \notin F(L_n)$. (If $i \in F(L_n)$, then $a \notin F(L_n)$ otherwise the order of the group $L_n/F(L_n)$ did not grow. At the same time, from the normality of $F(L_n)$ in L_n follows $a^{-1}ia = a^{-2}i \in F(L_n)$, but $a^{-2} \notin F(L_n)$, since a is an element of odd order and from $a^{-2} \in F(L_n)$ would get $a \in$ $F(L_n)$, but it is impossible.)

By Lemma 8 from [10] the lower layer R_n of $Z(Q_n)$ is a subgroup of order ≤ 4 . If $|R_n| = 4$, then by Lemma 6 all involutions of Q_n are contained in $R_n \triangleleft N_{L_n}(Q_n)$. And since the orders of the factor groups $N_{L_n}(Q_n)$ grows by virtue of the isomorphism theorem together with the number n, then S_n is a dihedral group of order 8 and $Q_n = R_n$, $n \ge q$ for some number q. The subgroup $N_{F(L_n)}(R_n)$ is strongly embedded in $F(L_n)$ and according to Suzuki theorem [24] $F(L_n)$ is isomorphic to SL(2,Q), where Q is a field of characteristic 2, $n \ge q$. But this contradicts Lemma 4.

If $|R_n| = 2$, then by Lemma 4 the Sylow 2-subgroup of $F(L_n)$ is a dihedral group of order at least eight. If the orders of these dihedral groups are not limited in aggregate, then on the properties of linear groups the

number of prime divisors in the set $\pi(C_G(k))$ for some involution k is unlimited. But then $C_G(k)$ is not Chernikov and we get a contradiction with the condition of the theorem. So, the orders of the Sylow 2-subgroups of $F(L_n)$ are bounded in aggregate. From here according to Brouwer-Feit Theorem [1], the orders $F(L_n)$ are also limited in aggregate. But due to the semisimplicity of L_n , this implies that the index $|L_n: F(L_n)|$ is bounded.

Thus, we obtain the boundedness of orders of factor groups of the form $L_n/F(L_n)$, n = 1, 2, ... Therefore, the orders of the factor groups L/F(L), $L \in \mathfrak{N}$, are bounded in aggregate.

Let, for the involution $t R_t = \langle t \rangle \times \langle k \rangle$ be a Klein group of orger 4 and A_t be a maximal almost layer-finite subgroup of the group G containing $C_G(t)$. Obviously $R_t < A_t$ and t belongs to the layer-finite radical $R(A_t)$ of the group A_t . If $C_{A_t}(k)$ is finite, then R_t is called *highlighted*. If the involution t is unique in $R(A_t)$, then $t \in C_{A_t}(R(A_t))$.

Lemma 8. The highlighted subgroup is a Chernikov one.

Proof. Let t be an involution, A_t be a maximal almost layer-finite subgroup of G containing $C_G(t)$ (recall that, by the condition of the theorem, involutions centralizers in G are Chernikov). As shown earlier, $C_G(t)$ is an infinite group, then A_t has a nontrivial normal in A_t subgroup K generated by all involutions with infinite centralizers in A_t . Since K is a finite group, the index $|N_{A_t}(K) : C_{A_t}(K)|$ is finite. By the inclusion $C_{A_t}(t) \leq C_{A_t}(K)$ we see that the index $|N_{A_t}(K) : C_{A_t}(t) \cap N_{A_t}(K)|$ is finite. Then A_t is a Chernikov group as a finite extension of the Chernikov group (see Lemma 2.3 from [19]).

Let $L_1, L_2, ..., L_n, ...$ be an infinite sequence of different subgroups from \mathfrak{N} (semisimple groups) and $L_n \cap R(H)$ by Lemma 4 have almost regular involution j_n of $C_H(i)$.

There is an infinite set of elements $\{c_1, c_2, ..., c_n, ...\}$ in R(H) such that $\{M_1, M_2, ..., M_n, ...\}$, where $M_n = L_n^{c_n}$, n = 1, 2, ... consists of various subgroups and in $\cap M_n$ contains the same highlighted subgroup R_t .

Lemma 9. If R_t is a highlighted subgroup of $V = \cap M_n$, n = 1, 2, ...,then 1) the set $\mathfrak{B}_t = \{C_{M_n}(x) \mid x \in R_t \setminus 1, n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is finite; 2) the orders of subgroups of the set \mathfrak{N} are bounded in aggregate.

Proof. First we prove 1). Let $\{C_{M_n}(t) \mid n = 1, 2, ...\}$ be infinite. Let us prove that in this case the orders of the subgroups $M_n \cap R(A_t)$ are not bounded in aggregate.

Suppose that the orders of the subgroups $R(A_t) \cap M_n$ are bounded in aggregate. From here and from the inclusion $\langle t \rangle < C_{A_t}(R(A_t))$ obviously follows boundedness in aggregate of orders $|C_{M_n}(t)|, n = 1, 2, ...,$ and since $R_t < C_G(t) < A_t$ and R_t is a highlighted subgroup, then some involution u of R_t induces in a normal subgroup B_t of finite index in $R(A_t)$ automorphism, which translates any element from B_t to the inverse (Lemma 12 from [11]). We represent $A_t = B_t Q$, where Q is a finite subgroup from A_t and $R_t < Q$. From here due to the boundedness of the orders $|C_{M_n}(t)|, n = 1, 2, ...,$ infinity of $\{C_{M_n}(t) \mid n = 1, 2, ...\}$, layer-finiteness of $R(A_t)$ and the finiteness of the index $|R(A_t) : B_t|$ implies the existence of such the number q such that $C_{M_q}(t)$ has an element d representable as d = br, where $b \in B_t, r \in Q, |b^{2|Q|}| > |C_{M_q}(t)|$ and $b^u = b^{-1}$.

Based on such a representation of the element d, we write $d^u d^{-1} = b^{-1}r^u r^{-1}b^{-1}$. Obviously, $r^u r^{-1} \in C_{A_t}(B_t)$ and $b^{-1} \in R(A_t), r \in Q, u \in R_t < Q$, and therefore $d^u d^{-1} = b^{-2}r^u r^{-1} \in C_{M_q}(t)$ and $r^u r^{-1} \in Q \cap C_{A_t}(B_t)$. Then $(d^u d^{-1})^{|Q|} = b^{-2|Q|} \in C_{M_q}(t)$, but it is impossible.

Hence $|R(A_t) \cap M_n|$ are not limited in aggregate. Choose in $R(A_t)$ Abelian normal subgroup C_t of finite index, moreover, $t \in C_t$ (such exists by Lemma 12 from [11]). It is obvious that $|C_t \cap M_n|$ are also not limited in aggregate.

Since A_t by Lemma 8 is a Chernikov group, then C_t satisfies the minimal condition and $\{M_n\}$ has an infinite subset \mathfrak{C}_1 , and A_t has such a subgroup X_1 , that for any subgroup $L \in \mathfrak{C}_1$ X_1 coincides with the subgroup from $C_t \cap L$ generated by all elements of prime order from $C_t \cap L$. For the same reasons \mathfrak{C}_1 has such an infinite subset \mathfrak{C}_2 , and C_t such a subgroup $X_2 > X_1$, that for any subgroup $L \in \mathfrak{C}_2$ the factor group X_2/X_1 coincides with the subgroup generated by all elements of prime orders from $(C_t \cap L)/X_1$. Thus we build a chain $\mathfrak{C}_1 \geq \mathfrak{C}_2 \geq \ldots$ and accordingly a chain $X_1 < X_2 < \ldots$

Let k be an involution from $R_t \setminus \langle t \rangle$. By the condition $A_k \neq A_t$ and t is an almost regular in A_k . Then, by Lemma 12 from [11], t induces in some normal subgroup C_k of finite index from A_k an automorphism, translates all elements into inverse (we can assume that C_k is chosen from $R(A_k)$).

Let's assume that the set $\{C_L(k) \mid L \in \mathfrak{C}_n\}$ is infinite for any n. Then the orders of the subgroups $C_k \cap L$ as we showed in the case of t and B_t not limited in aggregate. Since A_k is Chernikov there is a finite subgroup in C_t of odd order $W \neq 1$, which starting with some number q is contained in a some subgroup from \mathfrak{C}_n for any n. Obviously the subgroup $T = (X, R_t, W)$ is locally finite. In particular, we have $tct = c^{-1}$ for any element $c \in W < C_k$. Since $\langle X, R_t \rangle < A_t \cap T$, then by Lemma 4 from [11] $T < A_t$ and, hence $W < A_t$. Since any element c from W has an odd order and is strictly real with respect to t, then taking into account the normality of the layer-finite radical $R(A_t)$ of the group A_t and the uniqueness of the involution t in $R(A_t)$ we get for any element a from $R(A_t)$: $c^{-1}ac = t^{-1}ctac = t^{-1}cac^{-1}t$ or $c^{-2}ac = a$, which implies (the order of the element c is odd) that $c \in$ $C_G(R(A_t))$ and it means $c \in R(A_t)$. Then $W < R(A_t) \cap R(A_k)$ and by Lemma 4 from [11] we get $A_t = A_k$. The contradiction means that for an involution k starting from some number q set $\{C_L(k) \mid L \in \mathfrak{C}_n, n \ge q\}$ is finite.

Let E_1 be some subgroup from \mathfrak{C}_q , E_2 be some subgroup from \mathfrak{C}_{q+1} etc. such that $X_n < E_n$. By the above, $\{C_{E_n}(x) \mid x \in R_t \setminus \langle t \rangle, n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is finite. It can be considered without breaking the generality of reasoning, that $E_n = M_n$, i.e. $\mathfrak{B} = \{C_{M_n}(x) \mid x \in R_t \setminus \langle t \rangle\}$ is finite, moreover $R_t < M_n$ by assumption, $X_t < M_n$ by the construction of the chain $\{M_n\}$.

Let $F(M_n)$ be a socle of M_n . By Lemma 12 all $F(M_n)$ contain involutions. In addition, $F(M_n) \cap R_t \neq 1$. If not, and if there is a q such that $F(M_q) \cap R_t = 1$, then due to the properties of primary groups and normality of $F(M_q)$ in M_q , the subgroup $F(M_q)$ is nontrivial intersects with the center of a Sylow 2-subgroup that contains R_t , in particular, there is an involution z centralizing R_t . But then $R_t < C_G(z)$, $r \notin R_t$ means that in $C_G(z)$ there is an elementary Abelian subgroup of order 8, one of the involutions of which is almost regular in a maximal almost layer-finite subgroup in G, containing $C_G(z)$ (R_t is chosen so), and this contradicts to Lemma 8 from [10].

Thus, $F(M_n) \cap R_t \neq 1$. Since $F(M_n)$ is simple and the orders of the factor groups $M_n/F(M_n)$ are limited in aggregate (Lemmas 4, 7), then we can assume that $X_n < F(M_n)$ and $|F(M_n)|$ grow with n. If the set $\{F(M_n) \mid M \in \mathfrak{N}, t \notin F(M)\}$ is infinite, then $F(M) \cap R_t \neq 1$ implies unboundedness in the aggregate of orders of subgroups of the set $\mathfrak{B}_k = \{F(M) \mid M \in \mathfrak{N}, t \notin F(M), k \in F(M)\}$, where k is an involution from $R_t \setminus \langle t \rangle$. And since \mathfrak{B} is a finite set, then $|C_{F(M)}(k)|$ for $F(M) \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ are limited in aggregate. But then |F(M)| are also limited in aggregate by Brouwer-Fowler Theorem [2] and by Lemma 4. Contradiction. Therefore, without breaking the generality of reasoning, we can assume that $t \in F(M_n)$, and the rest involutions of R_t are not contained in $F(M_n)$.

Let Q_n be a k-invariant Sylow 2-subgroup of $F(M_n)$ containing t (such can be found due to conjugacy of primary Sylow subgroups in M_n and Frattini lemma [4]). In the center of $Q_n\lambda(k)$ obviously there is an involution. It cannot be different from t, since this would contradict to Lemma 8 from [10]. Consequently, $t \in Z(Q_n)$. At the same time, in view of Lemma 6, t contains in $P_n = \langle t \rangle \times \langle v_n \rangle < Q_n$, where v_n is an involution, n = 1, 2, If in $\{P_n\}$ exists infinitely many non-highlighted subgroups, it would be possible to consider that P_n is non-highlighted for any n. Then, by Lemma 2, the Sylow 2-subgroups from M_n are a dihedral groups of order 8 and $Q_n = P_n$, moreover, the subgroups $N_{F(M_n)}(P_n)$ are strongly embedded respectively in $F(M_n)$. Hence by Suzuki theorem [24] we obtain a contradiction with Lemma 4. We assume that P_n is a highlighted subgroup for any n. Since $v_n \in C_G(t) \leq A_t$, then by Lemma 10 from [11] we will assume that v = $v_1^{b_1} = ... = v_n^{b_n} = ...$, where $b_n \in C_t < C_G(t)$. However, $X_n < C_t$, which means $X_n < M_n^{b_n} = U_n$. In view of the choice of $\{M_n\}$ the orders of these subgroups grow infinitely. Therefore $\{U_n\}$ consists of various subgroups and their intersection contains a highlighted subgroup $P = \langle v \rangle \times \langle t \rangle$.

Repeat for P and for $\{U_n\}$ the same reasoning with respect to R_t and to the set \mathfrak{N} . Based on this reasoning, let us prove the existence in $\{U_n\}$ of an infinite subset \mathfrak{K} such that the set $\{C_U(v) \mid U \in \mathfrak{K}\}$ is finite. And since $v \in F(U)$, and F(U) is a simple group by Lemma 4, then, by Brouwer-Fowler Theorem [2] and Lemma 7, the orders of the subgroups from \mathfrak{K} are bounded in aggregate. But then, given the equalities $|U_n| = |M_n|$, n =1, 2, ..., we obtain a contradiction with unboundedness in aggregate of orders of subgroups from the set $\{M_n\}$. This contradiction means that the set $\{C_{M_n}(x) \mid x \in R_t \setminus \{1\}, n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is finite and assertion 1 is proved.

Let us prove 2. Let the orders of subgroups from \mathfrak{M}_n be unbounded in aggregate. As elements of the set \mathfrak{L} we choose subgroups of \mathfrak{N} for whose orders the equality holds $|M_1| < |M_2| < ...$ and the intersection $\cap M_n$ has the highlighted subgroup $R = \langle i \rangle \times \langle j \rangle$.

By $1 \mathfrak{B}_i = \{C_{M_n}(x) \mid x \in R_t \setminus \{1\}, n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is finite and, as shown above, $F_{M_n} \cap R \neq 1$, n = 1, 2, ... But then without breaking the generality of reasoning, we will assume that the same involution k from R belongs to all M_n . Since \mathfrak{B}_i is a finite set, then by Brouwer-Fowler Theorem [2] and Lemma 7 imply limitation of orders of subgroups from \mathfrak{N} contrary to the choice of the set \mathfrak{L} from \mathfrak{N} . Thus 2 is proved.

Proof of the theorem. We first prove that the set \mathfrak{N} has so infinite subset \mathfrak{L} such that $V = \cap M$, $M \in \mathfrak{L}$, is a strongly embedded subgroup in each subgroup of \mathfrak{L} . Let \mathfrak{A}_1 be an arbitrary infinite subset of \mathfrak{N} , $V_1 = \cap B_1$, $T_1 = N_{B_1}(V_1)$, $B_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$, Q_1 be a Sylow 2-subgroup of V_1 containing $R = \langle i \rangle \times \langle j \rangle$. By Lemma 8 from [10] the intersection $R \cap Z(Q_1)$ has the involution t_1 . Let A_1 be a maximal almost layer-finite subgroup of G containing $C_G(t_1)$, $Y_1 = A_1 \cap B_1$, $B_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$, $P_1 = \langle t_1 \rangle \times \langle z_1 \rangle$ is a subgroup of order 4 from Q_1 . Since R is a highlighted subgroup by Lemma 9 the set

$$\{C_{B_1}(x) \mid x \in R \setminus 1, \quad B_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1\}$$

$$(2.1)$$

is finite. Based on Lemma 12 from [11] and Lemma 2 it is easy to get an idea to represent the subgroup A_1 in the following form $A_1 = C_{A_1}(t_1)C_{A_1}(k)$, where k is an involution from $R \setminus \langle t_1 \rangle$. From here and from finiteness of the set 2.1 implies the finiteness of the set

$$\{Y_1 \mid B_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1\}. \tag{2.2}$$

If P_1 is a non-highlighted subgroup, then by Lemma 2 $C_{B_1} \leq Y_1$, $x \in P_1 \setminus 1$. If P_1 is a highlighted subgroup, then, by Lemma 9, the set $\{C_{B_1}(x) \mid x \in P_1 \setminus 1, B_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1\}$ is finite. From here and from the finiteness of the set 2.2 it follows finiteness of a set

$$\{C_{B_1}(x) \mid x \in P_1 \setminus 1, \quad B_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1\}$$

$$(2.3)$$

for any subgroup of the form P_1 from Q_1 . On the Frattini lemma $T_1 = N_{T_1}(Q_1)V_1$, and since $R \leq Q_1$, then by Lemma 5 $N_G(Q_1)$ is finite. Hence the set is finite:

$$\{N_{B_1}(Q_1), T_{B_1} \mid B_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1\}$$
(2.4)

If at least one of the subgroups belonging to finite sets 2.1–2.4 not contained in any subgroup of some infinite subset of \mathfrak{A}_1 , then obviously in \mathfrak{A}_1 exists such an infinite subset of \mathfrak{A}_2 that $V_2 = \cap B_2 \neq V_1$, $B_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$, $V_1 < V_2$.

Let $T_2 = N_{B_2}(V_2)$, $B_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$, Q_2 be a Sylow 2-subgroup of V_2 and $Q_1 \leq Q_2$. According to Lemma 8 from [10] the intersection of $R \cap Z(Q_2)$ has an involution t_2 . Let also A_2 be a maximal almost layer-finite subgroup of G, containing $C_G(t_2)$, $Y_2 = A_2 \cap B_2$, $B_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$, $P_2 = (t_2) \times (z_2)$ is a subgroup of order 4 from Q_2 . Using the same arguments used in justifying of the finiteness of sets 2.1–2.4, we prove the finiteness of the sets

$$\{C_{B_2}(x) \mid x \in R \setminus 1, \quad B_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2\}$$

$$(2.5)$$

$$\{Y_2 \mid B_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2\} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\{C_{B_2}(x) \mid x \in P_2 \setminus 1, \quad B_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2\}$$

$$(2.7)$$

$$\{N_{B_2}(Q_2), T_{B_2} \mid B_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2\}$$
(2.8)

Regarding the set of \mathfrak{A}_2 and subsets 2.5–2.8 reason like the previous case, etc. As a result, we get in G a strictly increasing chain of subgroups $V_1 < V_2 < \ldots < V_r < \ldots$ and, accordingly, the chain $Q_1 \leq Q_2 \leq \ldots \leq Q_r \leq \ldots$

Since, by Lemma 9, the orders of subgroups from \mathfrak{N} are bounded in aggregate then the specified chains will terminate at the finite number r, that is, the set \mathfrak{A}_r is the last member of a strictly decreasing series $\mathfrak{A}_1 \supset \mathfrak{A}_2 \supset \ldots \supset \mathfrak{A}_r$ has such an infinite subset of \mathfrak{L} , that:

1) $V = \cap M$, $M \in \mathfrak{L}$ and $N_M(V) = V$, $M \in \mathfrak{L}$;

2) if Q is a Sylow 2-subgroup of V, then $N_V(Q) = N_M(Q)$, $M \in \mathfrak{L}$;

3) if P is a Klein subgroup of orger 4 from V, in particular, P = R, then $C_V(x) = C_M(x), \quad x \in P \setminus \{1\}, \quad M \in \mathfrak{L}.$

Now, based on assertions 1–3, we prove that V is a strongly embedded subgroup in any subgroup of \mathfrak{L} . Let E be some subgroup from \mathfrak{L} . By assertion 1 $N_E(V) = V$ and assume that for some element g of $E \setminus V$ the intersection of $V \cap V^g$ has an involution z. Let Q be a Sylow 2-subgroup of V^g and $z \in Q$. As Chernikov p-group is ZA-group and satisfies normalizer condition [7] by assertion 3 it is easy to prove the inclusion $Q < V \cap V^g$. Since by the Sylow's theorem [5] Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugate in V, then in V there exists the element h such that $Q^{hg} = Q$, and, therefore, $hg \in N_E(Q)$. But on to assertion 2 $hg \in N_E(Q) = N_V(Q) \leq V$ and $g \in V$ contrary to the assumption $g \in E \setminus V$. Therefore, V is a strongly embedded subgroup in any subgroup of \mathfrak{L} and existence of the sets \mathfrak{L} is proved.

Let the set \mathfrak{L} consist of subgroups $C_1, C_2, ..., C_n, ...$ such that $C_n = \langle a^{t_n}, a^{r_n}, i \rangle$, $t_n, r_n \in C_G(i)$.

By the definition of the set \mathfrak{N} , we can assume that all $a^{t_1}, \ldots, a^{t_n}, \ldots$ are different. As in the group G with its strongly embedded subgroup H and some involution i from H with the condition $\langle i, i^g \rangle$, $g \in G \setminus H$ is finite any element g of $G \setminus H$ has a representation g = hj, where $h \in H$, j is an involution of $G \setminus H$ [23], $a^{t_n} = h_n i_n$, where $h_n \in V$, i_n , $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ are involutions from $C_n \setminus V$, $D_n = V \cap V^{i_n}$ is a group of odd order. Since V is a finite group, then we assume that $h = h_1 = h_2 = \ldots$, $D = D_1 = D_2 = \ldots$

Consider the group $U = N_G(D)$. As proven above, $i_n \in U$ and the set $\{i_n \mid n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is infinite. By the conditions of the theorem the group U is almost layer-finite. Involutions $i_1, i_2, ..., i_n, ...$ in view of Lemma 8 from [10] can be considered not belonging to R(U). Further, R(U) has a finite index in U, and the set $\{i_n \mid n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is infinite, then we can assume that all this set is selected from one adjacent class $R(U)i_1$. Then from $i_1 = r_n i_n$ follows $i_1i_n = r_n i_n i_n = r_n \in R(U)$. This means in view of the layer-finiteness of R(U) unboundedness in aggregate of the orders of the elements i_1i_n . Then the orders of the elements $a_1^{-t_1}a_n^{t_n} = i_1h^{-1}hi_n = i_1i_n$ is also unlimited in aggregate. Hence the orders of the groups $\langle a^{t_1}, a^{t_n}, i \rangle$ is also unbounded in aggregate contrary Lemma 9. The obtained contradiction proves the theorem. The theorem is proved.

3. Conclusion

The main result of the work involves characterizing almost layer-finite groups in the class of periodic Shunkov groups. It is proved that if in Shunkov periodic group the centralizer of every involution is a Chernikov one and the normalizer of any nontrivial finite subgroup of the group is almost layer-finite, then the group is an almost layer-finite group.

References

- Brauer R., Feit W. Analogue of Jordan's theorem in characteristic p. Ann. Math., 1966, vol. 84, pp. 119-131.
- 2. Gorenstein D. Finite groups. N. Y., Harper and Row, 1968, 256 p.
- Hartley B. Finite groups of automrphisms of locally soluble groups. J. Algebra, 1979, vol. 57, pp. 242-257.
- Kargapolov M.I. Locally finite groups with special Sylow *p*-subgroups. Avtoref. dis. Cand. Phys.-Mat. Sciences. Molotov, 1955.
- Kargapolov M.I., Merzlyakov Yu.I. Basics of group theory. 3rd ed. Moscow, Science, 1982, 288 p. (In Russian)
- Kegel O.H., Wehrfritz B.A.F. Locally Finite Groups. Amsterdam, London, North-Holland Pabl., Co., 1973, 316 p.
- 7. Kurosh A.G. Group Theory. 3rd ed. Moscow, Science, 1967, 648 p. (In Russian)
- Pavlyuk I.I., Shafiro A.A., Shunkov V.P. On locally finite groups with a condition of primary minimality for subgroups. *Algebra and logic*, vol. 13, pp. 324-336. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01463353

- 9. Senashov V.I. Sufficient conditions for the almostlayer-finiteness Ukraineof a group. math.journal, 1999,vol. 51.pp. 472-485. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02591757
- Senashov V. I. On the Sylow subgroups of periodic Shunkov groups. Ukraine math. journal, 2005, vol. 57, pp. 1584–1556. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-006-0030-8
- 11. Senashov V.I. The structure of an infinite Sylow subgroup in some periodic Shunkov groups. *Discrete maths.*, 2002, vol. 14, pp. 133-152. https://doi.org/10.1515/dma-2002-0504
- Senashov V.I., Shunkov V.P. Almost layer-finiteness of the periodic part of a group without involutions. *Discrete maths.*, 2003, vol. 15, pp. 91-104. https://doi.org/10.1515/156939203322556054
- Senashov V.I. Characterizations of Shunkov groups. Ukraine math. journal, 2008, vol. 60, pp. 1110-1118. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-009-0127-y
- 14. Senashov V.I. On groups with a strongly embedded subgroup having an almost layer-finite periodic part. *Ukraine math. journal*, 2012, vol. 64, pp. 384-391. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-012-0656-7
- Senashov V.I. Almost layer-finite groups. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2013, 106 p. (In Russian)
- Shlepkin A.A. On one sufficient condition for the existence of a periodic part in the Shunkov group. *The Bulletin of Irkutsk State University. Series Mathematics* , 2017, vol. 22, pp. 90-105. https://doi.org/10.26516/1997-7670.2017.22.90
- Shlepkin A.A. On a periodic part of a Shunkov group saturated with wreathed groups. *Trudy Instituta Matematiki i Mekhaniki UrO RAN*, 2018, vol. 24, pp. 281-285. https://doi.org/10.21538/0134-4889-2018-24-3-281-285
- Shlepkin A.A. On the periodic part of the Shunkov group saturated with linear groups of degree 2 over finite fields of even characteristic. *Chebyshevskii Sbornik*, 2019, vol. 20, pp. 399-407. https://doi.org/10.22405/2226-8383-2019-20-4-399-407
- Shunkov V.P. On the embedding of primary elements in a group. Novosibirsk, Science, 1992, 133 p. (In Russian)
- Shunkov V.P. On a one class of p-groups. Algebra and Logic, 1970, vol. 9, pp. 484-496. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02219449
- Shunkov V.P. On periodic groups with almost regular involution. Algebra and Logic, 1972, vol. 11, pp. 470-493. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02219098
- 22. Shunkov V.P. On periodic groups with some conditions of finiteness. *Dokl. Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, 1970, vol. 195, pp. 1290-1293.
- 23. Shunkov V.P. M_p-groups. Moscow: Science, 1990, 160 p. (In Russian)
- 24. Suzuki M. Finite groups of even order in which Sylow 2-groups are independent. Ann. Math., 1964, vol. 80, pp. 58-77.

Vladimir Senashov, Doctor of Sciences (Physics and Mathematics), Professor, Siberian Federal University, 79, Svobodniy av., Krasnoyarsk, 660041, Russian Federation; Institute of Computational Modelling SB RAS, 50/44, Akademgorodok, Krasnoyarsk, 660036, Russian Federation, email: sen1112home@mail.ru, ORCID iD: 0000-0001-8487-5688.

Received 14.01.2020

О периодических группах Шункова с черниковским централизатором инволюции

В. И. Сенашов^{1,2}

V.I. SENASHOV

¹Сибирский федеральный университет, Красноярск, Российская Федерация; ² Институт вычислительного моделирования СО РАН, Красноярск, Российская Федерация

Аннотация. Слойно конечные группы впервые появились без названия в статье С. Н. Черникова (1945). Почти слойно конечные группы являются расширениями слойно конечных групп при помощи конечных групп. Класс почти слойно конечных групп шире, чем класс слойно конечных групп, он включает в себя все группы Черникова, в то время как легко привести примеры групп Черникова, которые не являются слойно конечно. Автор развивает направление характеризации известных хорошо изученных классов групп в других классах групп с некоторыми дополнительными (довольно слабыми) условиями конечности. В данной работе почти слойно конечные группа Шункова — это группа G, в которой для любой ее конечной подгруппы K в фактор-группе $N_G(K)/K$ любые два сопряженных элемента простого порядка порождают конечную подгруппу. Мы изучаем периодические группы Шункова с условием: нормализатор любой конечной неединичной подгруппы почти слойно конечен. Доказано, что если в такой группе централизаторами инволюций являются черниковскими, то группа почти слойно конечна.

Ключевые слова: бесконечная группа, условие конечности, группа Шункова, группа Черникова.

Список литературы

- 1. Brauer R., Feit W. Analogue of Jordan's theorem in characteristic $p \ //$ Ann. Math. 1966. Vol. 84. P. 119–131.
- 2. Gorenstein D. Finite groups. N. Y. : Harper and Row, 1968. 256 p.
- Hartley B. Finite groups of automrphisms of locally soluble groups // J. Algebra. 1979. Vol. 57. P. 242-257.
- 4. Каргаполов М. И. Локально конечные группы со специальными силовскими *p*-подгруппами : автореф. дис. . . . канд. физ.-мат. наук. Молотов, 1955. 20 с.
- 5. Каргаполов М. И., Мерзляков Ю. И. Основы теории групп. 3-е изд., перераб. и доп. М. : Наука, 1982. 288 с.
- Kegel O. H., Wehrfritz B. A. F. Locally Finite Groups. Amsterdam ; London : North-Holland Publ., Co., 1973. 316 p.
- 7. Курош А. Г. Теория групп. 3-е изд. М. : Наука, 1967. 648 с.
- Павлюк И. И., Шафиро А. А., Шунков В. П. О локально конечных группах с условием примарной минимальности для подгрупп // Алгебра и логика. 1974. Т. 13, № 3. С. 324–336.
- Сенашов В. И. Достаточные условия почти слойной конечности группы // Украинский математический журнал. 1999. Т. 51, N 4. С. 472–485.
- Сенашов В. И. О силовских подгруппах периодических групп Шункова // Украинский математический журнал. 2005. Т. 57, № 11. С. 1584–1556.
- Сенашов В. И. Строение бесконечной силовской подгруппы в некоторых периодических группах Шункова // Дискретная математика. 2002. Т. 14, № 4. С. 133–152. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4213/dm268
- Сенашов В. И., Шунков В. П. Почти слойная конечность периодической части группы без инволюций // Дискретная математика. 2003. Т. 15, № 3. С. 91–104. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4213/dm208

116

- Сенашов В. И. Характеризации групп Шункова // Украинский математический журнал. 2008. Т. 60, № 8. С. 1110–1118.
- Сенашов В. И. О группах с сильно вложенной подгруппой, имеющей почти слойно конечную периодическую часть // Украинский математический журнал. 2012. Т. 64, № 3. С. 384–391.
- 15. Сенашов В. И. Почти слойно конечные группы. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 1993. 106 с.
- Шлепкин А. А. Об одном достаточном условии существования периодической части в группе Шункова // Известия Иркутского государственного университета. Серия Математика. 2017. Т. 22. С. 90–105. https://doi.org/10.26516/1997-7670.2017.22.90
- 17. Шлепкин А. А. О периодической части группы Шункова, насыщенной сплетенными группами // Труды ИММ УрО РАН. 2018. № 3(24). С. 281–285. https://doi.org/10.21538/0134-4889-2018-24-3-281-285
- 18. Шлепкин А. А. О периодической части группы Шункова, насыщенной линейными группами степени 2 над конечными полями четной характеристики // Чебышевский сборник. 2019. Т. 20, № 4. С. 399–407. https://doi.org/10.22405/2226-8383-2019-20-4-399-407
- Шунков В. П. О вложении примарных элементов в группе. Новосибирск : Наука, 1992. 133 с.
- 20. Шунков В. П. Об одном классе
 p-групп // Алгебра и логика. 1970. Т. 9, № 4. С.484 –
496.
- Шунков В. П. О периодических группах с почти регулярной инволюцией // Алгебра и логика. 1972. Т. 11, № 4. С. 470–493.
- 22. Шунков В. П. О периодических группах с некоторыми условиями конечности // Доклады АН СССР. 1970. Т. 195, № 6. С. 1290–1293.
- 23. Шунков В. П. *М*_p-группы. М. : Наука, 1990. 160 с.
- 24. Suzuki M. Finite groups of even order in which Sylow 2-groups are independent // Ann. Math. 1964. Vol. 80. P. 58–77.

Владимир Иванович Сенашов, доктор физико-математических наук, профессор, Сибирский федеральный университет, Российская Федерация, 660041, Красноярск, пр. Свободный, 79; Институт вычислительного моделирования СО РАН, Российская Федерация, 660036, Красноярск, Академгородок, 50/44, тел.: +79607626610,

email: sen1112home@mail.ru, ORCID iD: 0000-0001-8487-5688.

Поступила в редакцию 14.01.2020